-- We will use 2 locally managed tablespaces, both with automatic segment space management but one with a uniform extent size and one with system generated extent sizes

SQL> select tablespace\_name, extent\_management, allocation\_type, segment\_space\_management from dba\_tablespaces where tablespace\_name in ('USERS', 'USERS3');

TABLESPACE\_NAME EXTENT\_MAN ALLOCATIO SEGMEN
USERS LOCAL SYSTEM AUTO
USERS3 LOCAL UNIFORM AUTO

-- Create one index in a tablespace with uniform extent sizes

SQL> create table shrink\_index tablespace users3 as select rownum i from dual connect by level <=1000000;

Table created.

SQL> create index shrink\_index\_idx on shrink\_index(i) tablespace users3; Index created.

-- Create one index in a tablespace with system generated extent sizes

SQL> create table shrink\_index2 tablespace users as select rownum i from dual connect by level <=1000000;

Table created.

SQL> create index shrink\_index2\_idx on shrink\_index2(i) tablespace users;

-- Note both indexes have the same data and the same number of index leaf blocks

SQL> select index\_name, leaf\_blocks from user\_indexes where index\_name like 'SHRINK\_INDEX%';

INDEX_NAME	LEAF_BLOCKS
SHRINK_INDEX2_IDX	2226
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	2226

-- However, both indexes have different number of extents

SQL> select segment\_name, bytes, blocks, extents from user\_segments where segment\_name like 'SHRINK\_INDEX%' and segment\_type = 'INDEX';

SEGMENT_NAME	BYTES	BLOCKS	EXTENTS
SHRINK_INDEX2_IDX	18874368	2304	33
SHRINK INDEX IDX	18874368	2304	18

-- The index with Uniform extent sizes has 18 extents, all with the 1M uniform size (block size is 8K)

SQL> select segment\_name, extent\_id, blocks from dba\_extents where segment\_name='SHRINK\_INDEX\_IDX' order by extent\_id;

SEGMENT_NAME	EXTENT_ID	BLOCKS
SHRINK INDEX IDX	0	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	ĺ	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	2	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	3	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	4	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	5	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	6	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	7	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	8	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	9	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	10	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	11	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	12	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	13	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	14	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	15	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	16	
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	17	128

18 rows selected.

-- However, the index with the system generated extents has 33 extents, 16 of them 64K each and 17 with 1M extent sizes

SQL> select segment\_name, extent\_id, blocks from dba\_extents where segment\_name= 'SHRINK\_INDEX2\_IDX' order by extent\_id;

SEGMENT_NAME	EXTENT_ID	BLOCKS
SHRINK_INDEX2_IDX	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 128 128 128 128 12
SHRINK_INDEX2_IDX	32	128

33 rows selected.

-- Now let's shrink both indexes and see what happens ...

SQL> alter index shrink\_index\_idx shrink space;

Index altered.

SQL> alter index shrink\_index2\_idx shrink space;

Index altered.

-- Note both indexes still have 2226 leaf blocks each. Being just created indexes, the shrink command has made no difference to the index structure of either index  $\dots$ 

SQL> select index\_name, leaf\_blocks from user\_indexes where index\_name like 'SHR INK\_INDEX%';

INDEX_NAME	LEAF_BLOCKS
SHRINK_INDEX2_IDX	2226
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	2226

-- Note that both indexes also have the same number of extents as expected, as again the indexes have just been created and been allocated the necessary extents

-- BUT the bytes and the blocks of the index in the ASSM tablespace has reduced whereas the bytes and the blocks of the index in the Uniform tablespace remains unchanged  $\dots$ 

SQL> select segment\_name, bytes, blocks, extents from user\_segments where segment\_name like 'SHRINK\_INDEX%' and segment\_type = 'INDEX';

SEGMENT_NAME	BYTES	BLOCKS	EXTENTS
SHRINK_INDEX2_IDX SHRINK INDEX IDX	18677760 18874368	2280 2304	33 18

 $\mbox{--}$  Note that the index with the uniform extent sizes still has all 18 extents fixed at the uniform size.

SQL> select segment\_name, extent\_id, blocks from dba\_extents where segment\_name= 'SHRINK\_INDEX\_IDX' order by extent\_id;

SEGMENT_NAME	EXTENT_ID	BLOCKS
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	0	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	1	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	2	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	3	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	4 5 6	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	5	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX		128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	7	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	8	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	9	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	10	
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	11	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	12	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	13	
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	14	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	15	128
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	16	
SHRINK_INDEX_IDX	17	128

18 rows selected.

-- However note the index with the system generate extents sizes has changed. The shrink command has de-allocated, all the unused portion off the last extent and reduced the size of the last extent from 128 blocks down to 104 blocks.

33 rows selected.

<sup>--</sup> As the system generated extent tablespace doesn't insist on each extent being a specific size, the shrink command has had an effect, even if the segment has only just been created  $\dots$