```
SQL> alter system set db_16k_cache_size = 80m;
System altered.
SQL> create tablespace ts_16k datafile 'c:\oracle\product\10.2.0\bowie\ts_16k01.dbf' size 1024M uniform size 1M segment space management manual blocksize 16K;
Tablespace created.
*** Next create a simple little table that initially has 2M rows
SQL> CREATE TABLE bowie AS SELECT rownum id, sysdate-10000+mod(rownum,10000) date_field FROM
dual CONNECT BY LEVEL <=2000000;
Table created.
*** Create an index in the default 8K tablespace
SQL> CREATE INDEX bowie_i ON bowie(date_field);
Index created.
*** Now evenly delete 1/2 the rows to defragment the index
SQL> DELETE bowie WHERE mod(id,2)=1;
1000000 rows deleted.
SQL> COMMIT;
Commit complete.
*** Collect current stats
SQL> exec dbms_stats.gather_table_stats(ownname=>'BOWIE', tabname=>'BOWIE',
estimate_percent=>null, method_opt=>'FOR ALL COLUMNS SIZE 1');
PL/SQL procedure successfully completed.
*** Note the db_file_multiblock_read_count is set to 16
SQL> show parameter db_file_multiblock
                                                VALUE
NAME
                                    TYPE
db_file_multiblock_read_count
                                   integer
                                               16
```

*** First, setup a 16K tablespace

SQL> set autotrace traceonly

```
*** Flush the buffer cache to make the database have to work hard for the required blocks
SQL> alter system flush buffer_cache;
System altered.
*** Trace the session, very important
SQL> alter session set events '10046 trace name context forever, level 12';
Session altered.
*** Now run some SQL that performs a multiblock read of the bowie_i via an Index Fast Full Scan (Note: run the query first before the buffer cache flush to reduce parsing related
overheads)
SQL> SELECT /*+ index_ffs (bowie, bowie_i) */ date_field FROM bowie where date_field > '01-
JAN-1978';
1000000 rows selected.
Execution Plan
Plan hash value: 251320893
| Id | Operation
                           | Name | Rows | Bytes | Cost (%CPU)| Time
______
                                    | 1000K| 7812K| 1173 (2)| 00:00:15 |
  0 | SELECT STATEMENT
                           |* 1 | INDEX FAST FULL SCAN | BOWIE_I | 1000K | 7812K | 1173 (2) | 00:00:15 |
Predicate Information (identified by operation id):
   1 - filter("DATE_FIELD">TO_DATE('1978-01-01 00:00:00', 'yyyy-mm-dd
             hh24:mi:ss'))
Statistics
          0 recursive calls
          0 db block gets
      6320 consistent gets
       5307 physical reads
         0 redo size
```

5167309 bytes sent via SQL*Net to client

11385 bytes received via SQL*Net from client

1001 SQL*Net roundtrips to/from client

0 sorts (memory)

0 sorts (disk)

1000000 rows processed

*** Note that consistent reads is 6320

*** Turn tracing off

SQL> alter session set events '10046 trace name context off'; Session altered.

*** If we look at a tkprof report of the query \dots

SELECT /*+ index_ffs (bowie, bowie_i) */ date_field FROM bowie where date_field > '01-JAN-1978'

call	count	cpu	elapsed	disk	query	current	rows
Parse	1	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0
Execute	1	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0
Fetch	1001	0.73	1.23	5307	6320	0	1000000
total	1003	0.73	1.23	5307	6320	0	1000000

*** we note that CPU is 0.73 seconds, elapsed is 1.23 seconds and guery reads is 6320

WAIT #8: nam='db file scattered read' ela= 738 file#=5 block#=242233 blocks=16 obj#=59933 tim=341634522940

*** We note in the raw trace file that most scattered reads read 16 blocks at a time as expected with a db_file_multiblock_read_count set to 16

*** we want to try and improve this performance.

*** I read somewhere that moving indexes into a bigger block tablespace should help, let's give it a go \dots

```
SQL> alter index bowie_i rebuild tablespace ts_16k;
Index altered.
SQL> alter system flush buffer_cache;
System altered.
SQL> alter session set events '10046 trace name context forever, level 12';
Session altered.
^{***} Let's see if the performance of the query has improved ? (Note: run the query first before the buffer cache flush to reduce parsing related overheads)
SQL> SELECT /*+ index_ffs (bowie, bowie_i) */ date_field FROM bowie where date_field > '01-
JAN-1978';
1000000 rows selected.
Execution Plan
-----
Plan hash value: 251320893
| Id | Operation
                        | Name | Rows | Bytes | Cost (%CPU)| Time
_____
                                | 1000K| 7812K| 367 (4)| 00:00:05 |
   0 | SELECT STATEMENT
                        - 1
|* 1 | INDEX FAST FULL SCAN | BOWIE_I | 1000K | 7812K | 367 (4) | 00:00:05 |
Predicate Information (identified by operation id):
  1 - filter("DATE_FIELD">TO_DATE('1978-01-01 00:00:00', 'yyyy-mm-dd
            hh24:mi:ss'))
Statistics
______
         1 recursive calls
         0 db block gets
      2317 consistent gets
      1310 physical reads
```

*** So, let's rebuild the index in the 16K tablespace

0 redo size

5167309 bytes sent via SQL*Net to client

11385 bytes received via SQL*Net from client

1001 SQL*Net roundtrips to/from client

- 0 sorts (memory)
- 0 sorts (disk)

1000000 rows processed

*** Well, for a start, the consistent reads has dropped significantly from 6230 to just 2317 !!

SQL> alter session set events '10046 trace name context off'; Session altered.

SELECT /*+ index_ffs (bowie, bowie_i) */ date_field FROM bowie where date_field > '01-JAN-1978'

call	count	cpu	elapsed	disk	query	current	rows
Parse	1	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0
Execute	1	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0
Fetch	1001	0.79	1.08	1310	2317	0	1000000
total	1003	0.79	1.08	1310	2317	0	1000000

wAIT #1: nam='db file scattered read' ela= 767 file#=7 block#=46 blocks=8 obj#=59933 tim=342035983379

^{***} Fantastic !! Elapsed times has dropped from 1.23 down to just 1.08 (somewhat over 12% improvement, not bad at all).

^{***} We note that CPU has gone up a touch from 0.73 to 0.79, but what the hell, response times have improved.

^{***} This is PROOF, beyond question that the 16κ block size has improved performance, by a significant 12% !!

^{***} Isn't that right ??

^{***} Note that scattered reads only read as a maximum 8 blocks (instead of 16 with the 8K default) so the size of a multiblock read is the same as before.

^{***} Although the rebuild in the 16K block tablespace has improved performance, I wonder what the result would be if we simply rebuilt the index in the old 8K block tablespace

```
Index altered.
SQL> set autotrace traceonly
SQL> alter system flush buffer_cache;
System altered.
SQL> alter session set events '10046 trace name context forever, level 12';
Session altered.
*** (Note: run the query first before the buffer cache flush to reduce parsing related
overheads)
SQL> SELECT /*+ index_ffs (bowie, bowie_i) */ date_field FROM bowie where date_field > '01-
JAN-1978';
1000000 rows selected.
Execution Plan
_____
Plan hash value: 251320893
| Id | Operation
                    | Name | Rows | Bytes | Cost (%CPU)| Time |
_____
 0 | SELECT STATEMENT | | 1000K| 7812K| 594 (3)| 00:00:08 |
|* 1 | INDEX FAST FULL SCAN | BOWIE_I | 1000K | 7812K | 594 (3) | 00:00:08 |
______
Predicate Information (identified by operation id):
  1 - filter("DATE_FIELD">TO_DATE('1978-01-01 00:00:00', 'yyyy-mm-dd
          hh24:mi:ss'))
Statistics
______
       0 recursive calls
       0 db block gets
     3664 consistent gets
     2655 physical reads
```

SQL> alter index bowie_i rebuild tablespace bowie;

0 redo size

5167309 bytes sent via SQL*Net to client

11385 bytes received via SQL*Net from client

1001 SQL*Net roundtrips to/from client

0 sorts (memory)

0 sorts (disk)

1000000 rows processed

*** Note that the consistent reads although not as low as with the 16K block tablespace, is much lower that it was originally

SQL> alter session set events '10046 trace name context off'; Session altered.

SELECT /*+ index_ffs (bowie, bowie_i) */ date_field FROM bowie where date_field > '01-JAN-1978'

call	count	cpu	elapsed	disk	query	current	rows
Parse	1	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0
Execute	1	0.00	0.00	0	0	0	0
Fetch	1001	0.65	0.99	2655	3664	0	1000000
total	1003	0.65	0.99	2655	3664	0	1000000

*** Oh my goodness, isn't that interesting.

*** Note only is elapsed times better than it was originally, it's actually better than the index in the 16K tablespace, just 0.99 compared to 1.08

*** Note also that CPU is significantly less at just 0.65 compared to 0.79 in the 16K tablespace

WAIT #1: nam='db file scattered read' ela= 668 file#=5 block#=222953 blocks=16 obj#=59933 tim=342792899797

*** Scattered reads are now back to reading 16 blocks at a time.

*** So it wasn't so much that the 16K tablespace made the index more efficient, but the fact the index *rebuild* made the index more efficient ...