```
******
*** 10g
******
*** In session (1)
SQL> CREATE TABLE bowie stuff AS SELECT rownum id, 'David Bowie' name FROM
dual CONNECT BY LEVEL <= 10000;
Table created.
SQL> CREATE INDEX bowie stuff i ON bowie stuff(id);
Index created.
*** In other session (2)
SQL> INSERT INTO bowie stuff VALUES (10001, 'Pink Floyd');
1 row created.
*** In the orginal session (1)
SQL> ALTER INDEX bowie stuff i REBUILD ONLINE;
*** session hangs (due to inability to get table lock due to session 2)
*** In yet another session (3)
SQL> INSERT INTO bowie stuff VALUES (10002, 'Radiohead');
*** It now hangs due to lock from session {\bf 1} , as would a transaction on the
bowie stuff table in session 4 and 5 and 6 and
*** Now commit in session (2)
SQL> COMMIT;
Commit complete.
*** releases the lock in session 3 and the index rebuild is free to proceed
but it will eventually get stuck again as it now requires another lock to
complete the rebuild process ...
*** In session 2, perform another insert before session 3 commits ...
SQL> INSERT INTO bowie stuff VALUES (10003, 'Iggy Pop');
*** and now it in turn hangs due to the rebuild needing the second table
lock
*** perform the commit in session (3)
```

```
SQL> commit;
Commit complete.
and it allows the rebuild in session 1 to finally finish and in turn allows
the update in session 2 to then be released and complete as well
*** So a rebuild requires a lock at the start and at the end of the index
rebuild process, even if performed ONLINE
*** These locks in turn cause other concurrent transactions on the table to
hang as well
******
*** 11g
******
*** In session (1)
SQL> CREATE TABLE bowie stuff AS SELECT rownum id, 'David Bowie' name FROM
dual CONNECT BY LEVEL <= 10000;
Table created.
SQL> CREATE INDEX bowie stuff i ON bowie stuff(id);
Index created.
*** In other session (2)
SQL> INSERT INTO bowie_stuff VALUES (10001, 'Pink Floyd');
1 row created.
*** In the orginal session (1)
SQL> ALTER INDEX bowie stuff i REBUILD ONLINE;
session still hangs (due to inability to get table lock due to session 2)
*** In yet another session (3)
SQL> INSERT INTO bowie stuff VALUES (10002, 'Radiohead');
1 row created.
*** Big change. This session is no longer impacted by the rebuild trying to
get it's table lock. It can carry on happily ..
```

*** Performing a Commit in session 2 will allow the rebuild to commence but it will be stuck again with the incomplete transaction in session 3.

SQL> commit;

Commit complete.

*** Performing another insert in session 2 will complete fine as again the rebuild does not impact other transactions

SQL> INSERT INTO bowie_stuff VALUES (10003, 'Iggy Pop');

1 row created.

*** commiting the transactions in both session 2 and 3 will allow the rebuild to finally complete

SQL> commit; (session 2)

Commit complete.

*** So an online rebuild in 11g can still be impacted by concurrent transactions but it in turn will not cause locking issues for other concurrent transactions on the base table $\frac{1}{2}$

Index altered. (session 1).